

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/423,014	01/18/2000	LAURENT MARCQ	19210/125/10 6235		
75	90 01/09/2004	EXAMINER			
LAWRENCE	M NAWROCKI	GREEN, BRIAN			
NAWROCKI R	OONEY & SIVERTS	NC			
3433 BROADW	VAY STREET NORTH	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 401 BROADWAY PLACE EAST			3611		
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55413	DATE MAILED: 01/09/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer:	09/423,0	014	MARCQ, LAUREN	١T			
Office Action Summary	Examine	er e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Art Unit				
***	Brian K.		3611				
The MAILING DATE of this commu Period for Reply	nication appears on tr	ie cover sheet with the d	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for repl - Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	NICATION. as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. (30) days, a reply within the sta statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a reply be tin atutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>20 October 20</u>	<u>03</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b) ☐ This action is r	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>26-48</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>26-48</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict the subject the subject to restrict the subject the	are withdrawn from co						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specific at the	e: a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) and the correction is required.	be held in abeyance. See ired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	m for foreign priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. & 119/s	a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati * See the attached detailed Office acti 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign late 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim reference was included in the first see	y documents have be y documents have be sof the priority documents and I Bureau (PCT Ruton for a list of the cerfor domestic priority used in the first sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence anguage provisional afor domestic priority used in the sentence and the s	een received. een received in Applicationents have been received. le 17.2(a)). tified copies not received under 35 U.S.C. § 119(c) the specification of application has been reconder 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	ion No ed in this National ed. e) (to a provisional r in an Application ceived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific			
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) 		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/423,014

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction filed on Oct. 20, 2003 (figure 1) has not been approved since the changes have not been approved since the changes have not been shown in red and the numerals 2 and 8 should be separated in order to make it clear that they are not forming the numeral 28. The proposed drawing correction filed on June 23, 2003 (figure 3) has not been approved since the lead line for numeral "9a" is not directed to the cross edge as indicated on page 7, line 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 26-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 45, line 2, it is not clear whether the "combined display element" is the same as the "display element" defined on line 1. In claim 45, lines 5 and 27, "the display element" is indefinite since it is not clear whether the applicant is referring to the "display element defined on line 1 or the display element defined on line 2. A suggested correction to the above problems would be to cancel the phrase ", comprising a combined display element" in lines 1-2 of claim 45. In claim 45, line 6 is confusing since it is not clear whether the applicant is referring to the ground support device on the base or on the lower hooking device. A suggested correction is to cancel line 6 in claim 45 and to replace the ";" in line 7 with the following ", the base including a ground supporting device;" and to cancel the ";" in line 7. In claim 45, line 10 is confusing since these lines suggest that the fixing device is a separate element from the base. A suggested

Application/Control Number: 09/423,014

Art Unit: 3611

correction is as follows "a fixing device on the base". In claim 48, line 5 is confusing since it is not clear whether the applicant is referring to the ground support device on the base or on the lower hooking device. A suggested correction is to cancel line 5 in claim 48 and to replace the ";" in line 6 with the following ", the base including a ground supporting device;" and to cancel the ";" in line 6.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed Oct. 20, 2003 have been fully considered.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 45 and 48 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 26-44,46, and 47 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/423,014

Art Unit: 3611

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian K. Green whose telephone number is (703) 308-1011. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on (703) 308-0629. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

BRIAN K. GREEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Bkg Jan. 8, 2004 Page 4